Item 2 Appendix 2(f)

SCRUTINY REMIT: PUBLIC HEALTH

#### **OBJECTIVE:**

To examine how effective the Public Health Department within HCC is despite funding cuts to the department's budget 2015/2016 and onwards

#### **QUESTIONS TO BE ADDRESSED:**

- 1. What has been done to date?
- 2. What has been the impact so far?
- 3. How will Public Health ensure that population outcomes are maintained?
- 4. Is the priorisation framework for deciding which budgets to reduce robust?

#### **OUTCOMES:**

- 1. Members are confident that the priorisation framework provides sufficient strong data to inform budget and planning decisions
- 2. The continuing role of partners in delivering preventive goals has been clarified.

#### **CONSTRAINTS:**

#### **NONE IDENTIFIED**

WITNESSES i.e. individuals EVIDENCE i.e. organisations e.g. HCS	
Jim McManus, Director of Public	HCC Community Wellbeing Team
Health	
Community Wellbeing Team	ENHCCG
	HVCCG
	HCC Children's Services
	HCS

METHOD: 1 day Topic Group DATE: 28 Nov 2016

**MEMBERSHIP:** HSC volunteers Dave Hewitt and Fiona Thomson

SUPPORT:

Scrutiny Officer: Charles Lambert Lead Officers: Jim McManus

**Democratic Services Officer:** Theresa Baker

# HCC Priorities for Action: how this item helps deliver the Priorities delete as appropriate

- **1.** Opportunity To Thrive ✓
- Opportunity To Prosper ✓
- Opportunity To Be Healthy And Safe ✓
- **4.** Opportunity To Take Part

### CfPS ACCOUNTABILITY OBJECTIVES: delete as appropriate

Transparent – opening up data, information and governance ✓

## SCRUTINY REMIT: PUBLIC HEALTH

2.	Inclusive – listening, understanding and changing	✓
3.	Accountable – demonstrating credibility	✓